



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,095	03/12/2004	Myron J. Maurer	1062-033 7574	
25215	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC 29 W LAWRENCE ST			TORRES, MELANIE	
SUITE 210	EI (CE 51		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PONTIAC, N	MI 48342		3683	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/799,095	MAURER ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melanie Тоггеs	3683			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE MAILING DATE OF T  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma  - If the period for reply specified abov  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION.  c under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iling date of this communication.  re is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 14 Ap	oril 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 32 and	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29,32 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-29,32 and 33</u> is/are rejected.					
	•					
8) Claim(s) are s	•	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers						
	niacted to by the Evernine					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·		animor. Note the attached office	7,00,011 07,101111 1 0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c  1. Certified copie  2. Certified copie  3. Copies of the capplication from	c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau	have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC		4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	11(5) (F1O-1449 OF P1O/SB/08)	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/799,095

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5-7,13-15, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5, 6, 13, 14, 19 and 20 contain the limitation "or combinations thereof."

This limitation is considered indefinite since it does not clearly define the metes and bounds of the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-29, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brockenbrough et al.

Re claims 1-16, 18-22, and 29-33 Brockenbrough et al. discloses an article of manufacture, comprising: an energy absorber (14, 16) comprising a first layer having a first plurality of corrugations, wherein the length of the corrugation is longer than its widest cross-sectional width. However, Brockenbrough does not teach wherein the

Art Unit: 3683

energy absorber is made of extruded plastic. Weylgan et al. teaches a corrugated energy absorber made of extruded plastic. (Figure 7, Column 10, lines 28-36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used plastic in the invention of Brockenbrough et al. in order to provide a less-expensive, lightweight material in the article of Brockenbrough et al.

Re claims 17, 23, 24-28, Brockenbrough et al. does not teach wherein the first and second layers differ in composition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the first and second layers of different composition since applicant has not disclosed that having different compositions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with a variety of different compositions such as metal or plastic.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection under 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph is improper. The examiner maintains that "or combinations thereof" does not sufficiently describe the metes and bounds of the claim since it is impossible to determine what Applicant intends to claim with such a limitation. The rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,095

Art Unit: 3683

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is extremely well known in the art that plastic can be substituted for metal for many reasons including cost and weight reduction. Motivation to combine can come from the teachings of the prior art, the knowledge of persons of ordinary skill in the art and/or the nature of the problem to be solved. (In re Rouffet, 149 F.3d 1350 U.S.P.Q.2d 1453 Fed. Cir. 1998) Further, it has been held that it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416) Therefore, the rejections above are maintained.

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/799,095

Art Unit: 3683

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (571)272-7127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571)272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Milaxie Sones 7/8/05

MT July 8, 2005